



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/449,782      | 11/26/1999  | JAMES MCKEETH        | MICE-0089           | 6698             |

7590 02/28/2006

COE F MILES  
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C  
8554 KATY FREEWAY  
SUITE 100  
HOUSTON, TX 77024

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

STEELMAN, MARY J

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2191

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                                      |                                       |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/449,782 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MCKEETH, JAMES |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>Mary J. Steelman  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2191               |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>02072006</u> . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to Appeal Brief received 25 November 2005.

Examiner hereby withdraws the prior Final Office action. In response to Amendments and Remarks received 25 April 2005, per Applicant's request, claims 1-9, 13-15, and 21 have been amended. Claim 22 has been canceled. Claims 23-25 have been added. Claims 1-21 and 23-25 are pending.

2. A new non-final Office action is provided to more specifically point out an application operating on a Windows 95 operating system, which includes a set-up or install feature, that through a command line invokes system utilities such as those used in registering modified components. Inherently, Windows Operating System utilities are invoked to modify the registry storage.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,182,279 to Buxton, in view of 5,758,154 to Qureshi.

### **Regarding claim 1, Buxton teaches:**

A method comprising:

Art Unit: 2191

-invoking, by an application, a call of a command line utility, the application providing an identifier in the call of the command line utility;

Buxton inherently invokes a utility (system level services / registry editor, col. 8, line 7) to modify and store the customized components created. An identifier is inherently provided to register the customized component in the registry. Col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 10, "Container may comprise any stand alone application capable of embedding OLE controls. A container **interacts with the WIN32 APIs** through the OLE libraries **in order to insert** OLE objects or controls **into the operating system registry**... The OLE libraries function to call the WIN32 APIs to locate (using a type of identifier) registered objects in registry and to insert and create object dialog (utility calls identify objects inserted / created / modified in the registry)". (emphasis added)

-receiving output from the command line utility;

As an example, a utility modifies (utility output) the registry (col. 8, lines 8-11).

-storing the command line utility output in a system storage at a location identified by the identifier;

As an example, the information related to the modification of a component (utility output) is stored at a registry key (a location identified by the identifier). Also, see col. 14, lines 20-28.

-retrieving, by the application, the command line utility output from the system storage at the location identified by the identifier.

Art Unit: 2191

As an example, the OLE libraries use the registry key information (retrieve output from system storage at identifier location / registry key) to find information about the OLE control. (col. 10, lines 8-10)

Buxton suggested receiving commands via command line, which results in modifying the registry (system storage) and storage. Buxton failed to specifically disclose a “command line utility”. Buxton suggests that the command line input (an object that consists of modifications to base component) is directed (DIR utility – a command utility) to storage, and the registry is edited (a command utility), but did not explicitly disclose ‘command line utility’.

Qureshi clearly discloses (col. 3, lines 26-46) an application call to a registration routine which call a ‘command line utility’ (system calls) to store an identifier in the registry.

Col. 4, lines 2-7, “When a computer program invokes the registration routine, it passes to the registration routine a configuration file that contain a description of the configuration information of the computer program. The registration routine open the configuration file and add the configuration information to the registry.” Similar to Buxton’s invention, Qureshi disclosed (col. 4, lines 58-67, “Moreover, when a new component is added to an already installed application, the user previously was required to re-execute the entire installation procedure.

With the registration DllRegisterServer routine, a new SRG file that includes only the configuration information required by the new component can be distributed along with the new component, and the **registry can be properly updated by simply calling DllRegisterServer (command line utility) and supplying as an argument the pathname of the SRG file**

Art Unit: 2191

**containing the configuration information required by the new component.”** (emphasis added) Col. 5, lines 56-59, “The application programs invoke operating system routines (invoking by an application, a call of a command line utility) in order to access the various services provided by the operating system, including routines for reading and writing files. The **application programs invoke the registration...routines** provided by the registration DLL to register...configuration information, passing an indication SRG file that contains the configuration information to register (**identifier**)...**The registration...routines** of the registration DLL **call operating system routines (utilities) to write information to the registry file...**” (emphasis added) Col. 8, lines 48-67, “DllRegisterServer opens the specified key...calling the system routine RegOpenKey...DllRegisterServer creates the key in the registry...DllregisteServer then calls the subroutine Process\_key\_values...The subroutine...returns TRUE...and returns FALSE...”

Command-line utilities are an alternative way to start code execution. A known use of the phrase “command line utility” is a manually typed text string, which upon entering will generate code execution. In the case of the instant application, Applicant seems to be using the phrase ‘command line utility’ to mean a system call made by an executing application which results in the execution a system utility.

The following dictionary definitions further support the rejection:

As defined in Microsoft Computer Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 111, **command line**: A string of text written in the command language and passed to the command interpreter for execution.

Art Unit: 2191

(emphasis added) As defined in Microsoft Computer Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 544, **utility**:  
A program designed to perform a particular function; the term usually refers to software that solves narrowly focused problems or those related to computer system management. (emphasis added)

Regarding the Applicant's use of 'command line utilities', see Specification, page 1, lines 8-15. "Often, such system information is available only through command line (e.g., console) utilities. That is, utilities that are accessible only through a command line interface. Illustrative command line utilities include 'dir' and 'net view' commands available in the Microsoft WINDOWS operating system..." Page 2, lines 20-24, "In one illustrative embodiment, command line utility output is stored in a system registry database... In another illustrative embodiment, command line utility output is stored in a shared system memory." Page 4, line 10 recites an example of an application that invokes a system call. Page 5, lines 6-9, Applicant states, "It will be recognized that the registry is an operating system generated and maintained database which application programs, application setup programs, and the operating system itself use to store configuration information." Page 5, line 19-page 6, line 2, Applicant admits that various system calls (system utilities) defined in the registry application programming interface are used to add / delete / modify / store in the registry.

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified Buxton's GUI to include specific details related to system utilities / command line utilities for effectively accessing and/or interacting with system storage as

Art Unit: 2191

suggested by Buxton (col. 8, lines 45-47). Furthermore, by providing specific details, as disclosed by Qureshi, regarding modifying system storage (the registry), such as the executable routines included in the registration DLL, redirects the received output to be stored at a location identified by the identifier (registry keys and sub-keys), because these are defined operating system commands used to provide options to a Windows language programmer for customizing the registry as needed for initialization, enhancing accessibility when a graphical user interface is not desired to support an executing program. WIN32 system utilities are well known in the art and reflect knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

**Regarding claim 2, Buxton teaches:**

-providing the identifier comprises providing an identifier that identifies one or more entries in a system registry database.

(Fig. 2, item 205 and col. 13, lines 14-15, "...registry keys are created..." Also see col. 14, lines 29-59, "To facilitate loading of template onto another system... a number of registration key or subkey are included with template. Each template may have the keys 450A-I, as illustrated in Fig. 4C...Key 450H contains information indicating the name of the storage object in template storage file where initialization data...may be located...Key 450I contains information identifying the CLSID...)

**Regarding claim 3, Buxton teaches:**

-providing a root key identifier.



Art Unit: 2191

(Col. 11, line 2: “Most OLE object application information is stored in subkeys under the CSLID root key...” Also see col. 17, lines 35-41, “Component loader loads, verifies and checks the license of a component by replacing in registry the InProcessServer 32 entry, i.e. key 450A...and adding additional registry keys 450B-J, as previously described, that will let the component loader (receiving a root key identifier) then load the correct OLE control.”)

**Regarding claim 4, Buxton teaches:**

-providing a sub-key identifier.

(Col. 11, line 2 and col. 14, line 31: To facilitate loading of template...a number of registration or subkey are included with template...”)

**Regarding claim 5, Buxton teaches:**

-system registry database comprises an operating system registry database.

(Col. 4, line 49: “Operation of computer system is generally controlled...by operating system software, such as... Windows95...”)

**Regarding claim 6, Buxton teaches:**

-providing a system storage identifier.

(Col. 12, lines 20-21, “...users identify...templates to be packaged...” Also for another example of receiving a system storage identifier, see col. 20, lines 42-45, “...relevant character string from the registry is converted to CLSID. The component loader (receives a system storage identifier) then calls the GetClassObject to retrieve the real component’s class factory...”)

**Regarding claim 7, Buxton teaches:**

-providing the system storage identifier comprises providing an identifier indicating a system registry.

(Col. 10, line 66 – col. 11, line 4: A CLSID identifies the functionality of an object class that can display... access to property values... A subkey is used by an OLE to find out information about the control.”)

**Regarding claim 8, Buxton teaches:**

-providing an identifier indicating shared system memory.

(Col. 8, lines 6-7: “OLE libraries (shared) comprise the set of system-level services in accordance with the OLE specification...”)

**Regarding claim 9, Buxton teaches:**

-providing the identifier indicating shared system memory identifies a system clipboard memory.

(Col. 11, line 6: “An FORMATETC... is an OLE data structure which acts in a generalized clipboard format...”)

**Regarding claims 10, Buxton teaches:**

-receiving output directly from the command line output utility.

As an example, a utility modifies (utility output) the registry (col. 8, lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2191

**Regarding claim 11, Buxton teaches:**

-receiving output from the command line output utility through a subsequent command line output routine.

As an example, (col. 8, lines 28-29) "Data items within the registry are retrievable (receive output) via calls (from utility call) to the WIN32 APIs."

**Regarding claim 12, Buxton teaches:**

-associating each line of command line utility output with a line identifier in the system storage.

As an example, (col. 3, lines 1-9) "Template storage with a means for indexing, including key information associated with the template. "...a memory having one or more locations, means for indexing one or more locations within the memory..." Also col. 13, lines 35-44, templates are stored with an enumerated decimal number: "Each template is stored in an ISTORE whose name is unique...and may have the form TEMPLEnnn, where nnn may be a decimal number.")

**Regarding claim 13, Buxton teaches:**

-setting each line identifier to a value corresponding to a position of that line in the command line utility output.

(Rejection of claim 12 is incorporated and further claim contains limitations as recited in claim 12. Therefore claim 13 is rejected under the same rational as claim 12.)

**Regarding claim 14, Buxton teaches:**

Art Unit: 2191

-setting a default value of the provided identifier to equal the total number of command utility output lines stored in the system storage. (Rejection of claim 12 is incorporated and further claim contains limitations as recited in claim 12. Therefore claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 12.)

**Regarding claim 15, Buxton teaches:**

A program storage device, readable by a computer, comprising instructions stored on the program storage device for causing the computer to:

- cause an application to invoke a call of a command line utility, the application providing an identifier in the call of the command utility;
- receive output from the command line utility;
- store the command line utility output in system storage at a location identified by the identifier;
- cause the application to retrieve the command line utility output from the storage at the location identified by the identifier.

See rejection of limitations in claim 1 above. This is a “program storage device” version of claim 1. See Figure 2 regarding Buxton’s disclosure of a “program storage device.”

**Regarding claim 16, Buxton teaches:**

- instructions to store command line utility output in an operating system registry database.

As an example (Fig. 2, item 205 and col. 13, lines 14-15), “...registry keys are created...” and (col. 13, lines 10 – 15) “...Template storage DLL ensures all additional registry keys...are

Art Unit: 2191

created...” Modified components cause the registry keys to be created / edited / modified (REGEDIT utility).

**Regarding claim 17, Buxton teaches:**

-instructions to store command line utility output in an operating system maintained volatile memory.

As an example, (Fig. 1, item 110-volatile storage).

**Regarding claim 18, Buxton teaches:**

-instructions to receive one or more lines of output from the command line utility.

See rejection of limitation in claim 1 above.

-instructions to store each of said one or more lines of output in the system storage.

As an example, (col. 14, lines 26-29) “The remainder of the operating system registry entries are generated by code (instructions to store) in the template storage DLL and are stored in both registry (store output / modified component data in system storage) and the template.”)

**Regarding claim 19, Buxton teaches:**

-instructions to associate a unique identifier with each of the one or more lines of output stored in the system storage.

See rejection of limitations in claim 2 above.

Art Unit: 2191

**Regarding claim 20, Buxton teaches:**

-instructions to set a value associated with the received identifier in the system storage equal to the number of lines of output stored in the system storage.

(Rejection of claim 18 is incorporated and further claim contains limitations as recited in claim 12. Therefore claim 20 is rejected under the same rational as claim 12.)

**Regarding claim 21, Buxton teaches:**

A computer system, comprising:

- a processor;
- a command line utility;
- an application executable on the processor, the application to call the command line utility, the application to provide an identifier in the call;
- a system storage having a location identified by the identifier, the location identified by the identifier to store an output of the command line utility,
- the application to retrieve the command line utility output from the location identified by the identifier.

As an example, see FIG. 1. Claim 21 contains limitations as recited in claim 1, therefore claim 21 is rejected under the same rational as claim 1.)

**Regarding claim 23, Buxton teaches:**

Art Unit: 2191

-the command line utility comprises a first command line utility, and wherein invoking the call by the application comprises invoking a call to pipe output of a second command line utility to the first command line utility...

-wherein storing the command line utility output comprises storing the command line utility output of the first command line utility.

Chaining utilities, piping the output of a second utility as input to a first utility is known in the art. Col. 8, lines 6-7 disclose the OLE libraries comprise the set of system level services (system utilities). As an example of system utilities (col. 20, lines 17-43) Buxton disclosed reading a sub-key from the registry, use the output to determine the real component CLSID, determine whether a valid certificate and license exist, pipe the relevant character string to a CLSID, etc.

**Regarding claim 24, Buxton teaches:**

-the command line utility comprises a first command line utility, and wherein invoking the call by the application comprises invoking a call to pipe output of a second command line utility to the first command line utility...

-wherein storing the command line utility output comprises storing the command line utility output of the first command line utility.

This is a 'program storage device' version of claim 23 above. See rejection of claim limitations in claims 15 and 23 above.

**Regarding claim 25, Buxton teaches:**

Art Unit: 2191

-the command line utility comprises a first command line utility, the system further comprising a second command line utility, the application to invoke a call that causes output of the second command line utility to be piped to the first command line utility...

-the location identified by the identifier to store output of the first command line utility.

This is a 'system' version of claim 23 above. See rejection of claim limitations in claims 21 and 23 above.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant has argued, in substance, the following:

(A) Regarding claim 1, as Applicant has noted on page 7, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of Remarks filed 22 April 2005, a template name, as taught by Buxton, does not identify a location for storing command line utility output.

Examiner's Response: A registry key is used to store utility output. See rejection of claim 1 above.

(B) Applicant has noted on page 8, last paragraph, "Note that in Buxton, the 'simple command line interpreter' described...is used to invoke the template builder utility. There is no indication in Buxton of an application to invoke this simple command line interpreter."

Examiner's Response: Claim language does not call for a "command line interpreter." In an object-oriented system, a command line utility is invoked to select, modify and store a



Art Unit: 2191

component (custom OLE component) (col. 2, lines 29-34) through a 'builder utility.' The 'builder utility' is responsible for registering customized components (calls a system utility / registry modification type of utility) (col. 8, lines 6-16). "The OLE libraries function to call the WIN32 APIs to locate registered objects in registry and **to insert and create object dialog** (registry edit utility) and return results to callers. When creating an OLE object...OLE libraries call the WIN32 APIs to read the registry..." (emphasis added)

(C) Applicant has noted on page 9, first paragraph, Livingston provides "no indication of an application invoking a call to this registry editor.

Examiner's Response: Buxton's invention inherently calls system utilities to modify the registry (col. 8, line 10) to "insert and create object dialog..." when registering custom components in the registry. The Livingston reference is no longer used.

(D) Applicant has noted on page 9, 3rd paragraph, there is "no motivation or suggestion to combine the teachings of Buxton and Livingston."

Examiner's Response: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 2191

6. Note additional references:

A. Programming the Win32 registry. Win32 API function discussed. RegOpenKeyEx is used by 32-bit Windows applications. Identifiers are passed as arguments.

B. Windows registry, Wikipedia (6 pages) See page 3, "Command line editing", "On NT-based systems the registry can be manipulated from the command line with the reg.exe utility... Also a .reg file (a text-based human-readable file format for storing portions of the registry) can be imported from the command line with the following command..."

C. "Windows 95 Application Setup Guidelines for Independent Software Vendors", Teri Schiele, May 1995 Paper discusses Windows95 guidelines for setting up the execution environment of an application by modifying files and adding entries to the registry.

D. "Internet Component Download", Microsoft Corporation, 1996. Microsoft notes related to the development of software indicates an "Internet Component Download" as a system service (utility) to install code in a permanent store (p. 1). Page 7, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, "The Internet Component Download service is exposed via a single function, GoGetClassObjectFromURL(). **This system function is called by an application** that wishes to download, verify, and install code for an ActiveX component." (emphasis added) Page 8, the function GoGetClassObjectFromURL() has identifiers as arguments, calls for and returns an object for a

Art Unit: 2191

given rclsid. The 'download and install' process involves a self-registration of ActiveX components using the /regserver command-line argument. Registry entries are added.

E. USPN 6,405,362 B1 to Shih et al. Col. 7, lines 19-22, "After locating the appropriate autorun program 215, the event monitor 210 runs the program, passing it a command line indicating that it is to install and/or run the application 220..." Col. 7, lines 52-59, "Autorun program...has two major operational modes which are specified as command parameters...installs and/or runs application software...performs setup functions such as setting registry entries..."

7. Examiner has made many attempts to show that an application with installation / setup functionality, invokes, via a command line, system utility calls to modify the registry, including the related identifiers/arguments/parameters. An executing application, after installation, will retrieve values from the registry (retrieving, by the application the command line utility output from the system storage at the location identified by the identifier).

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei

Art Unit: 2191

Zhen can be reached at (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned: 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Steelman

02/06/2006

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mary Steelman", written in a cursive style.